UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/015,351	12/11/2001	Howard G. Pinder	A-7274	8293
	7590 10/28/200 ATLANTA, INC.	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUA	AL PROPERTY DEPA	NOBAHAR, ABDULHAKIM		
5030 SUGARLOAF PARKWAY LAWRENCEVILLE, GA 30044			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2432	
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/28/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTOmail@sciatl.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/015,351	PINDER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ABDULHAKIM NOBAHAR	2432	

	ABDULHAKIM NOBAHAR	2432	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ').	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. .ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ite extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the properties. 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	out prior to the data of filing a brief	ill mat be antenad be	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, k (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bether the content of the cont	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
appeal; and/or	,	0 1 7 0	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>55-76,83-91,105-124</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Gilberto Barron Jr/			
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2432			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

- 1. Raboswsky teaches a system for secure (encrypted) transmission of cinema and data files between two end points (i.e., a creator and a headend or a headend and a receiver) (see, for example, col. 2, lines 5-11 and lines 26-47 and col. 3, lines 3-8). Bartholet et al. also teaches a system for secure cryptographic transmission of data from one terminal to another (e.g., Figure 2 and [0011]). Therefore, these two arts are from an analogous field of technology and are combinable.
- 2. Raboswsky does not expressly teach a scheme to encrypt data more than one time, but Bartholet et al. suggests a multi-layer encryption scheme to be performed on the data being transmitted (see, e.g., [0022]). Thus, combination of Rabowsky and Bartholet et al. teachings meet the limitation of transmitting a ciphertext paket having multiple layers of encryption to a receiver in the pending independent claims.